

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/905,335	Applicant(s) UZOH ET AL.	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Tina Chen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

40

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since claim 40 does not recite the limitation of "positioning the pad material on or from the substrate during the deposition step" as it was rejected under double patenting rejection for reasons as of record, the Attorney has agreed to add the limitation in claim 40 by an examiner's amendment..